



Nash Equilibrium

"A steady-state where each player holds a correct expectation of the other players' behavior and acts rationally." - Osborne

An action vector from which no player can profitably unilaterally deviate.

Definition

An action tuple *a* is a NE if for every $i \in N$ $u_i(a_i, a_{-i}) \ge u_i(b_i, a_{-i})$

for all $b_i \in A_i$.

Note showing that a point is a NE says nothing about the process by which the steady state is reached. Nor anything about its uniqueness.

3

Also note that we are implicitly assuming that only pure strategies are possible in this case.



How do the players find the Nash Equilibrium?

Preplay Communication

 Before the game, discuss their options. Note only NE are suitable candidates for coordination as one player could profitably violate any agreement.

- Rational Introspection
 - Based on what each player knows about the other players, reason what the other players would do in its own best interest. (Best Response - tomorrow) Points where everyone would be playing "correctly" are the NE.
- Focal Point
 - Some distinguishing characteristic of the tuple causes it to stand out. The NE stands out because it's every player's best response.
- Trial and Error
 - Starting on some tuple which is not a NE a player "discovers" that deviating improves its payoff. This continues until no player can improve by deviating. Only guaranteed to work for Potential Games (couple weeks)

Nash Equilibrium as a Fixed Point

- Individual Best Response $\hat{B}_i(a) = \left\{ b_i \in A_i : u_i(b_i, a_{-i}) \ge u_i(a_i, a_{-i}) \forall a_i \in A_i \right\}$
- Synchronous Best Response $\hat{B}(a) = \underset{i \in N}{\times} \hat{B}_i(a)$
- Nash Equilibrium as a fixed point $a^* = \hat{B}(a^*)$
- Fixed point theorems can be used to establish existence of NE (see dissertation)
- NE can be solved by implied system of equations

Example solution for Fixed Point by Solving for Best Response Fixed Point

- Bandwidth Allocation Game
 - Five cognitive radios with each radio, *i*, free to determine the number of simultaneous frequency hopping channels the radio implements, $c_i \in [0,\infty)$.
 - Goal $u_i(c) = P(c)c_i C_i(c_i)$
 - P(c) fraction of symbols that are not interfered with (making $P(c)c_i$ the goodput for radio *i*)
 - $C_i(c_i)$ is radio *i*'s cost for supporting c_i simultaneous channels.

$$u_i(c) = \left(B - \sum_{k \in N} c_k\right)c_i - Kc_i$$



Significance of NE for CRNs

Theorem 4.1: NE and Cognitive Radio Network Steady States (*)

Given cognitive radio network $\langle N, A, \{u_i\}, \{d_i\}, T \rangle$ where all players are autonomously

rational, if the game $\langle N, A, \{u_i\} \rangle$ has an NE a^* , then a^* is a fixed point for d.

Proof. Suppose a^* is not a fixed point. Then for some $i \in N$, there must be some $b_i \in d_i(a^*)$ with $b_i \neq a_i^*$ such that $u_i(b_i, a_{-i}^*) > u_i(a_i^*, a_{-i}^*)$. But this contradicts the assumption that a^* is an NE. Therefore, a^* must be a fixed point for d.

9

Autonomously Rational Decision Rule

 $b_i \in d_i(a), b_i \neq a_i \implies u_i(b_i, a_{-i}) > u_i(a_i, a_{-i})$

- Why not "if and only if"?
 - Consider a self-motivated game with a local maximum and a hill-climbing algorithm.
 - For many decision rules, NE do capture all fixed points (see dissertation) Identifies steady-states for all "intelligent" decision rules with the
 - same goal.
- Implies a mechanism for policy design while accommodating differing implementations
 - Verify goals result in desired performance
 - Verify goals result in second s



My Favorite Mixed Strategy Story

Pure Strategies in an Extended Game

Consider an extensive form game where each stage is a strategic form game and the action space remains the same at each stage. Before play begins, each player chooses a probabilistic strategy that assigns a probability to each action in his action set. At each stage, the player chooses an action from his action set according to the probabilities he assigned before play began.

Example

Consider a video football game which will be simulated. Before the game begins two players assign probabilities of calling running plays or passing plays for both offense or defense. In the simulation, for each down the kind of play chosen by each team is based on the initial probabilities assigned to kinds of plays. (Play NCAA2003)

Example Mixed Strategy Game					
Jamming game			game		D 1 1 11/1
	q		(1 <i>-q</i>)	Action Tuples Probabilities (a, a_2) pq	
		a_2	b_2	(a_1, b_2) (a_1, b_2)	p(1-q)
р	<i>a</i> ₁	1,-1	-1, 1	(b_1, a_2) (b_1, b_2)	(1-p)q (1-p)(1-q)
(1 <i>-p</i>)	<i>b</i> ₁	-1, 1	1, -1	Expected Utilities $U_1(p,q) = pq(1) + p(1-q)(-1) + \cdots$	
Sets of probability distributions				+(1-p)q(-1)	1) + (1-p)(1-q)(1)
$\Delta(A_1) = \{p, (1-p): \forall p \in [0,1]\}$				$U_2(p,q) = pq(-$	$-1) + p(1-q)(1) + \cdots$
$\Delta(A$	₂)={q	g,(1-q): $\forall q \in$	[0,1]}	+(1-p)q(1)	+(1-p)(1-q)(2-1)

Nash Equilibrium in a Mixed Strategy Game

Definition Mixed Strategy Nash Equilibrium A mixed strategy profile α^* is a NE iff $\forall i \in N$

$$U_{i}\left(\alpha_{i}^{*},\alpha_{-i}^{*}\right)\geq U_{i}\left(\beta_{i},\alpha_{-i}^{*}\right)\forall\beta_{i}\in\Delta\left(A_{i}\right)$$

Best Response Correspondence

 $BR_{i}(\alpha_{-i}) = \arg \max_{\alpha_{i} \in \Delta(A_{i})} U_{i}(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{-i})$

Alternate NE Definition Consider $B(\alpha) = \times_{i \in N} BR_i(\alpha)$

A mixed strategy profile α^* is a NE iff

 $\alpha^* \in B(\alpha^*)$



Interesting Properties of Mixed Strategy Games

- 1. Every Mixed Extension of a Strategic Game has an NE.
- 2. A mixed strategy α_i is a best response to α_{-i} iff every action in the support of α_i is itself a best response to α_{-i} .
- 3. Every action in the support of any player's equilibrium mixed strategy yields the same payoff to that player.

15

16

Coalitional Game (with transferable payoff or utilities)



• Payoff vector is said to be S-feasible if $x(S) \le v(S)$



<u>The Core</u>

- For $\langle N, v \rangle$, the set of feasible payoff profiles, $(x_i)_{i \in S}$ for which there is no coalition *S* and *S*-feasible payoff vector $(y_i)_{i \in S}$ for which $y_i > x_i$ for all $i \in S$.
- General principles of the NE also apply to the Core:
 - Number of solutions for a game may be anywhere from 0 to ∞

17

- May be stable or unstable.



Comments on the Core

- Possibility of empty core implies that even when radios can freely negotiate and form arbitrary coalitions, no steady-state may exist
- Frequently very large (infinite) number of steady-states, e.g., $\alpha{<}2{/}3$
 - Makes it impossible to predict exact behavior
- Existence conditions for the Core, but would need to cover some linear programming concepts
- Related (but not addressed today) concepts:
 Bargaining Sets, Kernel, Nucleolus











Steady-State Summary

- Not every game has a steady-state
- NE are analogous to fixed points of self-interested decision processes
- NE can be applied to procedural and ontological radios
 - Don't need to know decision rule, only goals, actions, and assumption that radios act in their own interest
- A game (network) may have 0, 1, or many steady-states
- All finite normal form games have an NE in its mixed extension
 - Over multiple iterations, implies constant adaptation
- More complex game models yield more complex steadystate concepts
- Can define steady-states concepts for coalitional games
 - Frequently so broad that specific solutions are used